

EIEP10

Network and Trust Rebate or Discount
Distributions version 6.0

Protocol and Guideline

Version control

Version history

This document replaces all previous versions (Inclusive of format, protocol, and examples)

Version	Date	Issue description
V1.0		Initial release
V2.0	April 2004	
V3.0	1 November 2005	Draft for review
V4.0	30/31 May 2006	Draft for approval by Electricity Commission Board
V5.0	8 June 2006	Electricity Commission Board approved
V6.0	6 Oct 2008	Formatted into the Electricity Commission's current style

Change history

Version	Date	Changes
V2.0	April 2004	Contains consistency changes.
V3.0	1 November 2005	Split format and guide into new structure Changes to format as per DRIEPS Survey Review Draft for review
V4.0	30/31 May 2006	Draft for Electricity Commission Board approval
V5.0	8 June 2006	Electricity Commission Board approved
V6.0	6 Oct 2008	Formatted into the Electricity Commission's current style

Related documents

Document	Type	Description
Principles of the data format and reports catalogue	Guide	Overarching guide to use of Data Format Catalogue and General principles for use of Electricity Information Exchange Protocols

Data format and reports catalogue (DFRC)	List	Listing of all currently available formats and association between documents
--	------	--

Contents

Version control	B
Version history	B
Change history	B
Related documents	B
1. Network and trust rebate or discount distributions	5

1. Network and trust rebate or discount distributions

- 1.1.1 DRIEPS discussed the information required by distributors to enable network and trust rebate distributions to be made.
- 1.1.2 There are a large number of differing information requirements required by distributors and trusts at the present time. Each is dependent on how the distributions are to be calculated and paid to the end use customer. It was decided that a standard file format would not meet the needs of many Industry participants unless it was made unduly complicated.
- 1.1.3 Implementing a complicated file format would require considerable expenditure to extract the required data from the various customer information and billing databases. As each recipient would likely use only a small portion of the total data provided in a standard file to calculate their rebate it was decided that the cost to the Industry exceeded the benefit of any useful standard file format.
- 1.1.4 The group's decision was to leave the provision of information by retailers to distributors or trusts for the purpose of network and trust rebate distributions outside of the Industry information protocols. The current process whereby the parties negotiate their individual requirements, and associated costs, on a commercial basis is seen as preferable.